

Combinatorics, Logic and Probability

Logical limit laws for planar graphs and graphs on surfaces

Marc Noy

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona
Barcelona Graduate School of Mathematics

A zero-one law

\mathcal{G} class of labelled graphs

\mathcal{G}_n graphs in \mathcal{G} with n vertices

Uniform distribution on \mathcal{G}_n

$$\mathbf{P}(G \in \mathcal{G}_n) = \frac{1}{2^{\binom{n}{2}}}$$

Graph properties expressible in **first-order logic**

Example G contains a triangle $\exists x \exists y \exists z (x \sim y) \wedge (y \sim z) \wedge (z \sim x)$

Theorem For **every** first order property A

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(G \in \mathcal{G}_n \text{ satisfies } A) \in \{0, 1\}$$

A holds in \mathcal{G} **with high probability (whp)** if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(G \text{ satisfies } A : G \in \mathcal{G}_n) = 1$$

Whp every object satisfies ϕ or whp no object satisfies ϕ

Outline

1. First order and second order logic. Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé games
2. Logical limit laws: planar graphs and related classes of graphs
3. Graphs on surfaces

Based on joint work with

- ▶ Peter Heinig, Anusch Taraz (Hamburg),
Tobias Müller (Utrecht)
- ▶ Albert Atserias (Barcelona), Stephan Kreutzer (Berlin)

First order logic (FO)

Quantifiers: \forall, \exists

Variables: x, y, z, \dots

Boolean connectives and syntax: $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \rightarrow, (), =$

For a given class of structures we add **relations** of any given arity

Graphs: $E(x, y)$ adjacency relation, written $x \sim y$

Ordered structures: $x < y$

Abelian groups: $x + y = z$

Some examples in graphs

- ▶ Existence of an isolated vertex: $\exists x, \forall y \neg(x \sim y)$
- ▶ Existence of a triangle: $\exists x \exists y \exists z (x \sim y) \wedge (y \sim z) \wedge (z \sim x)$
- ▶ Existence of fixed H as a subgraph (or induced subgraph)
- ▶ Existence of a connected component isomorphic to H

If G satisfies ϕ we say G is a **model** of ϕ and write

$$G \models \phi$$

Graph connectivity

A graph (V, E) is **connected** if

$$\forall x \forall y \neg(x = y) \rightarrow \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_k \text{ distinct from } x \text{ and } y \\ (x \sim x_1) \wedge (x_1 \sim x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_k \sim y)$$

Graph connectivity

A graph (V, E) is **connected** if

$$\forall x \forall y \neg(x = y) \rightarrow \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_k \text{ distinct from } x \text{ and } y \\ (x \sim x_1) \wedge (x_1 \sim x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_k \sim y)$$

Not in FO!

But **diameter** $\leq k$ (for fixed k) is in FO

Another attempt at expressing connectivity

$$\forall A \subset V, A \neq \emptyset, A \neq V \exists x \in A, \exists y \notin A (x \sim y)$$

This is a **second order** formula: quantification over relations

Monadic Second Order (MSO) logic is a fragment of SO
MSO = FO + quantification over **sets of vertices** (unary relations)

MSO = FO + quantification over sets of vertices

- ▶ Being connected is in MSO
- ▶ Being acyclic is in MSO
- ▶ 3-colorability is in MSO
- ▶ Hamiltonian is not in MSO but it is in MSO_2 : quantification over sets of vertices **and** sets of **edges**
- ▶ For planar graphs MSO and MSO_2 are equally powerful

Remark FO is 'local' and MSO is highly 'non-local'
We'll make precise locality of FO later

Theorem Graph connectivity is **not** expressible in FO

First attempt: analyze **each** FO formula and show it cannot express connectivity

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z ((x \sim z) \wedge \neg(y \sim z)) \vee (\exists w (z \sim w) \vee (\neg y \sim w)) \quad ???$$

Strategy: analyze **simultaneously** all formulas of a given **complexity**

Depth of formula $\phi =$ maximum number of nested quantifiers in ϕ

- ▶ $\text{depth}(\phi) = 0$ if ϕ is quantifier free
- ▶ $\text{depth}(\psi) + 1$ if $\phi = \forall x \psi(x)$
- ▶ $\text{depth}(\psi) + 1$ if $\phi = \exists x \psi(x)$

Logical equivalence of graphs

$G \equiv_k H$ if G and H satisfy exactly the same formulas of depth $\leq k$

Finitely many equivalence classes

Suppose for each $k \geq 1$ we find graphs G_k, H_k such that

- ▶ G_k is connected and H_k is not
- ▶ $G_k \equiv_k H_k$

If ϕ expresses connectivity and $k = \text{depth}(\phi)$, then **contradiction!**

Logic through combinatorial games

Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé game $\text{Ehr}_k(G, H)$

- ▶ **Spoiler** and **Duplicator** play k rounds on two graphs G, H
- ▶ At each round Spoiler picks a vertex (from any graph) and Duplicator picks a vertex from the other graph

(a_1, \dots, a_k) vertices selected from G

(b_1, \dots, b_k) vertices selected from H

Duplicator **wins** iff $(a_1, \dots, a_i) \leftrightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_i)$ isomorphism for all i

Theorem (Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé)

$G \equiv_k H \iff$ Duplicator has a winning strategy for $\text{Ehr}_k(G, H)$

Provides a purely **combinatorial characterization** of FO logic

Proofs of non-expressability in FO

Connectivity

$$G_k = C_{3^k}, \quad H_k = C_{3^k} \cup C_{3^k}$$

Claim: $G_k \equiv_k H_k$

Proof by induction on k

Additional properties not in FO

- ▶ Acyclic
- ▶ 3-colorable
- ▶ Hamiltonian
- ▶ Eulerian
- ▶ Planar
- ▶ Rigid (no non-trivial automorphism)

Zero-one laws

\mathcal{G} class of (labelled) graphs

\mathcal{G}_n graphs in \mathcal{G} with n vertices

Probability distribution on \mathcal{G}_n for each n

The **zero-one law** holds in \mathcal{G} if for every formula ϕ in FO

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(G \models \phi : G \in \mathcal{G}_n) \in \{0, 1\}$$

Whp every object satisfies ϕ or whp no object satisfies ϕ

The classical example

\mathcal{G} class of all labelled graphs $|\mathcal{G}_n| = 2^{\binom{n}{2}}$

Uniform distribution $\mathbf{P}(G) = \frac{1}{2^{\binom{n}{2}}}, \quad G \in \mathcal{G}_n$

Theorem Glebski, Kogan, Liagonkii, Talanov (1969) Fagin (1976)

The zero-one law holds for labelled graphs

The $G(n, p)$ model

- ▶ Class: Labelled graph with n vertices
- ▶ Every possible edge xy **independently** with probability p

$$\mathbf{P}(G) = p^{|E|} (1-p)^{\binom{n}{2} - |E|}$$

$G(n, 1/2)$ is the uniform distribution

Extension Property E_r

For all disjoint $A, B \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|A| = |B| = r$

$$\exists z \notin A \cup B \quad (\forall x \in A \quad z \sim x) \quad \wedge \quad (\forall y \in B \quad z \not\sim y)$$

Lemma $G(n, p)$ satisfies E_r whp for constant p

$$\mathbf{P}(G_n \not\models E_r) \leq \binom{n}{r} \binom{n-r}{r} (1-p^r (1-p)^r)^{n-2r} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Theorem The 0-1 law holds in $G(n, p)$ for constant p

Assume $(a_1, \dots, a_i) \leftrightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_i)$ and **Spoiler** plays a_{i+1}

Let $A_1 = \{a_j \mid a_{i+1} \sim a_j, 1 \leq j \leq i\}$, $A_2 = \{a_j \mid a_{i+1} \not\sim a_j, 1 \leq j \leq i\}$

Duplicator plays $b_{i+1} = z$ as in E_r for the sets A_1 and A_2

Hence Duplicator wins whp

The 0-1 law does **not** hold in $G(n, p = \frac{1}{n})$

$p = 1/n$ is the threshold for the appearance of a triangle

Number of triangles in $G(n, p = 1/n)$ tends to a Poisson(1/6)

Shelah, Spencer 1988

The 0-1 law holds in $G(n, p = n^{-\alpha})$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ irrational

Trees

Theorem McColm (2002)

The zero-one law holds for trees in FO and MSO

Trees

Theorem McColm (2002)

The zero-one law holds for trees in FO and MSO

\mathcal{T} labelled trees $|\mathcal{T}_n| = n^{n-2}$ Cayley's formula

Typical properties of a random tree

- ▶ Height is $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$
- ▶ Maximum degree is $\Theta\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right)$
- ▶ Has $\sim e^{-1}n$ leaves (vertices of degree 1)
- ▶ Has αn pendant copies of any fixed rooted tree H

T has H as a pendant copy if T has a subtree $\cong H$
joined to T through an edge incident with the root of H

FO zero-one law for trees

Theorem (McColm)

The zero-one law in FO holds for trees

Sketch of proof

For each $k \geq 1$

T_1, \dots, T_m representatives of all \equiv_k types of trees

'Universal' tree U_k : k copies of each T_i glued with a new root

- ▶ A random tree contains a pendant copy of U_k w.h.p.
- ▶ If T, T' both contain a pendant copy of U_k then $T \equiv_k T'$

Duplicator wins $\text{Ehr}_k(T, T')$ by playing in suitable subtrees of U_k

Hence T and T' satisfy the same formulas of depth $\leq k$ whp

Remark We play on rooted trees for defining the winning strategy but the root is not part of the language

MSO Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé games

MSO $\text{Ehr}_k(G, H)$ games: vertex moves and set moves

Duplicator must respond with the same kind of move as Spoiler

$(a_1, \dots, a_r), (b_1, \dots, b_r)$ vertex moves

$(A_1, \dots, A_s), (B_1, \dots, B_s)$ set moves

Duplicator wins if $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \leftrightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_r)$ and

$a_i \in A_j \iff b_i \in B_j$

- ▶ $G \equiv_k^{MSO} H$ if satisfy the same MSO formulas of depth $\leq k$
- ▶ k -MSO types are the equivalence classes

$G \equiv_k^{MSO} H \iff$ Duplicator has winning strategy $\text{Ehr}_k^{MSO}(G, H)$

McColm The MSO zero-one law holds for trees

Proof idea Define U_k as before with 2^k copies of each type of tree
Pigeonhole argument

What follows is joint work with
Tobias Müller, Peter Heinig, Anusch Taraz

- ▶ Extension to forests (acyclic graphs)
- ▶ Extension to more general classes of graphs

Forests

There is **no zero-one law** in the class of forests

$$\mathbf{P}(\text{Random forest has an isolated vertex}) \rightarrow e^{-1}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Properties of random forests

- ▶ Connected with probability $\rightarrow e^{-1/2} \approx 0.607$
- ▶ The largest component has expected size $n - O(1)$
- ▶ Fragment = complement of largest component
 H unlabelled forest, $\mathbf{P}(\text{Fragment} \simeq H) \rightarrow \mu_H$

Theorem

Each MSO property has a limiting probability for random forests
(Convergence law)

Sketch of proof

- ▶ Type of the components determines type of the forest
- ▶ Largest component has a fixed type (by 0-1 law for trees)
- ▶ Sum over fragments $\mathcal{A}(\phi)$ that make ϕ hold:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(\text{Random forest} \models \phi) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}(\phi)} \mu_H$$

Planar graphs

For each k there exists a planar graph U_k such that

- ▶ If G, G' planar contain a pendant copy of U_k then $G \equiv_k G'$
- ▶ W.h.p. a random planar graph contains a pendant copy of U_k
McDiarmid, Steger, Welsh 2005 Giménez, N. 2009

Theorem

The zero-one MSO law holds for **connected** planar graphs

The convergence MSO law holds for **arbitrary** planar graphs

Minor-closed classes of graphs

H is a minor of G if it can be obtained from a subgraph of G by contracting edges

\mathcal{G} is **minor-closed** if

$$G \in \mathcal{G}, \quad H \text{ minor of } G \Rightarrow H \in \mathcal{G}$$

Forests, Planar, Graphs embeddable in a fixed surface S

Outerplanar, Series-Parallel, Bounded tree-width, ΔY -reducible

\mathcal{G} **addable** if it is closed under disjoint unions and adding bridges between different components

Theorem (McDiarmid 2009)

\mathcal{G} addable and minor-closed, H fixed graph in \mathcal{G}

A random graph in \mathcal{G} contains a pendant copy of H w.h.p.

Theorem

A zero-one MSO law holds for **connected** graphs in \mathcal{G}

A convergence MSO law holds for **arbitrary** graphs in \mathcal{G}

The set of limiting probabilities

$$L = \{\lim \mathbf{P}(G_n \models \phi) : \phi \text{ MSO formula}\}$$

$L \subseteq [0, 1]$ is countable and symmetric with respect to $1/2$

Theorem If \mathcal{G} addable minor-closed class, then \bar{L} is a **finite** union of closed intervals

Forests

$$\bar{L} = [0, 0.1703] \cup [0.2231, 0.3935] \cup [0.6065, 0.7769] \cup [0.8297, 1]$$

$$0.6065 \dots = e^{-1/2} = \lim \mathbf{P}(\text{Random forest is connected})$$

ϕ is **true** for trees whp $\Rightarrow \lim \mathbf{P}(\phi) \geq 0.6065$

ϕ is **false** for trees whp $\Rightarrow \lim \mathbf{P}(\phi) \leq 1 - 0.6065 = 0.3935$

For **planar graphs** $\bar{L} =$ union of 108 intervals, of length $\approx 10^{-6}$

Lemma (Guthrie-Nymann 1988)

$p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n \dots > 0$ and $\sum p_n < +\infty$

If $p_n \leq \sum_{k>n} p_k$ for $n \geq n_0$ then

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in A} p_i : A \subset \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a finite union of closed intervals

In our case the p_i are the probabilities of the possible fragments

- ▶ Same \bar{L} for FO and MSO
- ▶ At least two intervals since

$$\mathcal{G} \text{ addable} \implies \lim \mathbf{P}(\text{connectivity}) \geq e^{-1/2} \approx 0.6065$$

Graphs on surfaces

\mathcal{G}_S class of graphs embeddable in S

Minor-closed but **not** addable: K_5 embeds in the torus not $K_5 \cup K_5$

$$B(x, r) = \{y : d(x, y) \leq r\}$$

A random graph in \mathcal{G}_S satisfies w.h.p.

- ▶ All balls $B(x, R)$ are planar for fixed $R > 0$
Chapuy-Fusy-Giménez-Mohar-N., Bender-Gao 2011
- ▶ Contains a pendant copy of any fixed connected **planar** graph
McDiarmid 2008 CFGMN

Gaifman's locality theorem

Every FO formula is equivalent to a Boolean combination of **basic local sentences**

$$\exists x_1, \dots, \exists x_s \left(\bigwedge_{i \neq j} d(x_i, x_j) > 2r \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_i \psi_{B_r(x_i)}(x_i) \right)$$

Theorem

A zero-one **FO** law holds for connected graphs in \mathcal{G}_S

A convergence **FO** law holds for arbitrary graphs in \mathcal{G}_S

$$p(\phi) = \lim \mathbf{P}(G_n \models \phi) \text{ independent of } S$$

Theorem (Albert Atserias, Stephan Kreutzer, M.N.)

- ▶ No Zero-One MSO law for connected graphs of genus $g > 0$
- ▶ No convergence MSO law for graphs of genus $g > 0$

Proofs use several facts

1. CFGMN 2011

A random graph of genus $g > 0$ has w.h.p. a **unique** non-planar 3-connected component

- ▶ 3-connected components are MSO definable
- ▶ Minors are MSO definable, hence planarity too

2. Ellingham 1996

A 3-connected graph of genus g has a spanning tree with maximum degree $\leq 4g$ [$\Delta \leq 3$ for planar [Barnette 1966](#)]

3. Courcelle 2003

For bounded genus $\text{MSO} \equiv \text{MSO}_2$ (quantification over vertices and **edges**)

4. Giménez-Noy-Rué 2013

Local limit law for $X_n = |\text{3-connected component of genus } g|$

$$\mathbf{P}(X_n = \alpha n + xn^{2/3}) \sim n^{-2/3} f(x), \quad f \text{ density of a stable law}$$

Theorem

The probability that X_n is even is MSO expressible and

$$\mathbf{P}(X_n \text{ even}) \rightarrow 1/2$$

Sketch of proof

Because of spanning tree of bounded degree, parity is MSO expressible

Because of local limit law for X_n , $\mathbf{P}(X_n \text{ even}) \rightarrow 1/2$
(Not enough convergence in distribution)

Note $L = \{\lim \mathbf{P}(G_n \models \phi) : \phi \text{ MSO formula}\}$

$$\bar{L} = [0, 1]$$

Non-convergence for $g > 0$

We can produce an MSO formula ϕ such that $\mathbf{P}(G_n \models \phi)$ does **not** converge for random graphs of genus $g > 0$

Claim The 3-connected component of genus g contains w.h.p. an MSO definable grid minor M with

$$\log \log n \leq |M| \leq n$$

Inspired on encoding Turing machine computations in a grid one can capture **parity of the iterated logarithm** $\log^* |M|$ and produce a formula without limiting probability

References

- ▶ J. Spencer. The strange logic of random graphs. Springer (2001)
- ▶ S. Janson, A. Rucinski, T. Łuczak. Random Graphs (Chap. 10). Wiley (2000)
- ▶ P. Heinig, T. Müller, M. Noy, A. Taraz. Logical limit laws for minor-closed classes of graphs. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* (to appear)
- ▶ A. Atserias, S. Kreutzer, M. Noy. Monadic second order logic for graphs on surfaces (in preparation)