



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

Relative asymptotics for orthogonal matrix polynomials

A. Branquinho^{a,1}, F. Marcellán^{b,2}, A. Mendes^{c,*,1}^a CMUC, Department of Mathematics, University of Coimbra, Largo D. Dinis, 3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal^b Departamento de Matemáticas, Escuela Politécnica Superior, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Avenida de la Universidad, 30, 28911 Leganés, Spain^c Departamento de Matemática, Escola Superior de Tecnologia e Gestão, Instituto Politécnico de Leiria, 2411-901 Leiria, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 March 2010

Accepted 9 April 2012

Available online 26 May 2012

Submitted by V. Olshevsky

AMS classification:

33C45

39B42

Keywords:

Matrix orthogonal polynomials

Linear functional

Recurrence relation

Tridiagonal operator

Asymptotic results

Nevai class

ABSTRACT

In this paper we study sequences of matrix polynomials that satisfy a non-symmetric recurrence relation. To study this kind of sequences we use a vector interpretation of the matrix orthogonality. In the context of these sequences of matrix polynomials we introduce the concept of the generalized matrix Nevai class and we give the ratio asymptotics between two consecutive polynomials belonging to this class. We study the generalized matrix Chebyshev polynomials and we deduce its explicit expression as well as we show some illustrative examples. The concept of a Dirac delta functional is introduced. We show how the vector model that includes a Dirac delta functional is a representation of a discrete Sobolev inner product. It also allows to reinterpret such perturbations in the usual matrix Nevai class. Finally, the relative asymptotics between a polynomial in the generalized matrix Nevai class and a polynomial that is orthogonal to a modification of the corresponding matrix measure by the addition of a Dirac delta functional is deduced.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the last decade the asymptotic behavior of matrix orthonormal polynomials, the distribution of their zeros as well as their connection with matrix quadrature formulas have paid an increasing attention by many researchers. A big effort was done in this direction in the framework of the analytic theory of such polynomials by Durán, Van Assche and coworkers, among others (cf. [9, 13, 14, 16, 25]).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ajplb@mat.uc.pt (A. Branquinho), pacomarc@ing.uc3m.es (F. Marcellán), aimendes@estg.ipleiria.pt (A. Mendes).¹ The work of the authors has been supported by CMUC and FCT (Portugal), through European program COMPETE/FEDER.² The work of the author has been supported by Dirección General de Investigación, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación of Spain, grant MTM2009-12740-C03-01.

In this work we study outer ratio asymptotics for matrix orthogonal polynomials belonging to a new class, the so called *generalized matrix Nevai class*, and for these matrix orthogonal polynomials we obtain some new analytic results.

Definition 1. Let $A, B,$ and C be matrices, with A and C non-singular matrices of dimension $N \times N$. A sequence of matrix polynomials $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$zV_m(z) = A_m V_{m+1}(z) + B_m V_m(z) + C_m V_{m-1}(z), \quad m \geq 0, \tag{1}$$

with A_m a non-singular lower triangular matrix and C_m a non-singular upper-triangular matrix, belongs to the *generalized matrix Nevai class* $M(A, B, C)$ if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m = A, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_m = B, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} C_m = C.$$

We say that a matrix of measures \mathcal{M} belongs to the *generalized matrix Nevai class* $M(A, B, C)$ if some of the corresponding sequences of matrix orthogonal polynomials belongs to $M(A, B, C)$.

In order to study the generalized matrix Nevai class we will recover a vector interpretation of the matrix orthogonality that was presented for the first time in [7]. Let $(\mathbb{P}^N)^*$ be the linear space of vector linear functionals defined on the linear space \mathbb{P}^N of vector polynomials with complex coefficients. $(\mathbb{P}^N)^*$ is said to be the *dual space*. A vector of functionals $u = [u^1 \cdots u^N]^T$ acting in \mathbb{P}^N over $\mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$u(\mathcal{P}) := (u \cdot \mathcal{P}^T)^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle u^1, p_1 \rangle \cdots \langle u^N, p_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \\ \langle u^1, p_N \rangle \cdots \langle u^N, p_N \rangle \end{bmatrix},$$

where “ \cdot ” means the symbolic product of the vectors u and \mathcal{P}^T , where $\mathcal{P}^T = [p_1 \cdots p_N]$, $p_i \in \mathbb{P}$, the standard linear space of polynomials with complex coefficients. The degree of \mathcal{P} is given by $\text{deg}(\mathcal{P}) = \lfloor (\max_{j=1, \dots, N} \{\text{deg } p_j\})/N \rfloor$,

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ represents the integer part of a real number.

Given a polynomial h , with $\text{deg } h = N$, the set

$$\{1, x, \dots, x^{N-1}, h(x), xh(x), \dots, x^{N-1}h(x), h^2(x), xh^2(x), \dots\}$$

is a basis for the linear space of polynomials, \mathbb{P} . Furthermore, $\{\mathcal{P}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, with \mathcal{P}_j defined by $\mathcal{P}_j(x) = (h(x))^j \mathcal{P}_0(x)$, where $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = [1 x \cdots x^{N-1}]^T$, is also a basis for the linear space of vector polynomials \mathbb{P}^N .

The vector of linear functionals $(x^k u)$ acting in \mathbb{P}^N over $\mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$(x^k u)(\mathcal{P}) := ((x^k u) \cdot \mathcal{P}^T)^T = u(x^k \mathcal{P}).$$

So, with this definition and taking into account that $\{\mathcal{P}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis for the linear space of vector polynomials \mathbb{P}^N , the j -th moment associated with the vector of linear functionals $x^k u$ is given by $(x^k u)(\mathcal{P}_j) = u_j^k$.

The *Hankel matrices* associated with u are the matrices

$$D_m = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 & \cdots & u_m \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_m & \cdots & u_{2m} \end{bmatrix}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where u_j is the j -th moment associated with the vector of linear functionals u . u is said to be *quasi-definite* if all the leading principal submatrices of D_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are non-singular.

A vector sequence of polynomials $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, with degree of \mathcal{B}_m equal to m , is said to be *left-orthogonal* with respect to the vector of linear functionals \mathcal{U} if

$$(h^k \mathcal{U})(\mathcal{B}_m) = \Delta_m \delta_{k,m}, \quad k = 0, \dots, m - 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\delta_{k,m}$ is the Kronecker delta and Δ_m is a non-singular upper triangular matrix.

Notice that, we can always write \mathcal{B}_m in the matrix form

$$\mathcal{B}_m(x) = V_m(h(x))\mathcal{P}_0(x),$$

where V_m is a $N \times N$ matrix polynomial of degree m and $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = [1x \dots x^{N-1}]^T$.

Similarly, a sequence of matrix polynomials $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, with degree of G_m equal to m , is said to be *right-orthogonal* with respect to the vector of linear functionals \mathcal{U} if

$$(G_m^T(h(x))\mathcal{U})(\mathcal{P}_j) = \Theta_m \delta_{j,m}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

where Θ_m is a non-singular lower triangular matrix.

In [7] necessary and sufficient conditions for the quasi-definiteness of \mathcal{U} , i.e., for the existence of a vector (matrix) sequence of polynomials left-orthogonal (right-orthogonal) with respect to the vector of linear functionals \mathcal{U} are obtained.

These sequences of polynomials satisfy non-symmetric three-term recurrence relations. So, if $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a vector sequence of polynomials left-orthogonal with respect to \mathcal{U} and if $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of matrix polynomials, then there exist sequences of numerical matrices $\{A_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{B_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\{C_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, with A_m a non-singular lower triangular matrix and C_m a non-singular upper triangular matrix, such that

$$h(x)\mathcal{B}_m(x) = A_m\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(x) + B_m\mathcal{B}_m(x) + C_m\mathcal{B}_{m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 1, \tag{2}$$

with $\mathcal{B}_{-1}(x) = 0_{1 \times N}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0(x) = \mathcal{P}_0(x)$, where $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = [1x \dots x^{N-1}]^T$ and

$$zG_n(z) = G_{n-1}(z)A_{n-1} + G_n(z)B_n + G_{n+1}(z)C_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3}$$

with $G_{-1}(z) = 0_{N \times N}$ and $G_0(z) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}_0)^{-1}$.

Notice that these three-term recurrence relations completely characterize each type of orthogonality.

Furthermore, right and left vector orthogonality are connected with right and left matrix orthogonality. Indeed, consider the *generalized Markov matrix function* \mathcal{F} associated with \mathcal{U} defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(z) := \mathcal{U}_x \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_0(x)}{z - h(x)} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \left\langle u_x^1, \frac{1}{z-h(x)} \right\rangle \cdots \left\langle u_x^N, \frac{1}{z-h(x)} \right\rangle \\ \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \\ \left\langle u_x^1, \frac{x^{N-1}}{z-h(x)} \right\rangle \cdots \left\langle u_x^N, \frac{x^{N-1}}{z-h(x)} \right\rangle \end{bmatrix},$$

with z such that $|h(x)| < |z|$ for every $x \in \mathbb{L}$ where $\mathbb{L} = \cup_{j=1, \dots, N} \text{supp } u_x^j$. Here \mathcal{U}_x represents the action of \mathcal{U} on the variable x and $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = [1x \dots x^{N-1}]^T$.

In fact, see [7], the matrix sequence $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the vector sequence $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bi-orthogonal with respect to \mathcal{U} , i.e.,

$$((G_n(h(x)))^T \mathcal{U}_x)(\mathcal{B}_m) = I_{N \times N} \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

if and only if matrix the sequences $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_m(z) = V_m(h(z))\mathcal{P}_0(z), \tag{4}$$

are bi-orthogonal with respect to \mathcal{F} , i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C V_m(z)\mathcal{F}(z)G_n(z)dz = I_{N \times N} \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where C is a closed path in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > |h(x)|, x \in L\}$.

The sequences of matrix polynomials $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ presented here are orthogonal with respect to a matrix of measures which is not necessarily positive definite. These sequences satisfy the three-term recurrence relations (1) and (3), respectively. On the other hand, these recurrence relations yield a characterization of right and left matrix orthogonality.

The sequences of matrix polynomials $\{\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}(z) = \mathcal{U}_x \left(\frac{V_{m+1}(z) - V_{m+1}(h(x))}{z - h(x)} \mathcal{P}_0(x) \right),$$

$$G_m^{(1)}(z) = \left[\left(\frac{G_{m+1}^T(z) - G_{m+1}^T(h(x))}{z - h(x)} \right) \mathcal{U}_x \right] (\mathcal{P}_0(x)),$$

are said to be the sequences of associated polynomials of the first kind for \mathcal{U} and $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, respectively. Here \mathcal{U}_x represents the action of \mathcal{U} on the variable x .

As a consequence of the definition of associated polynomials of the first kind for \mathcal{B}_m and G_m , we get

$$V_{m+1}(z)\mathcal{F}(z) - \mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}(z) = \mathcal{U}_x \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(x)}{z - h(x)} \right), \tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(z)G_{m+1}(z) - G_m^{(1)}(z) = \left(G_{m+1}^T(h(x))\mathcal{U}_x \right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_0(x)}{z - h(x)} \right). \tag{6}$$

The sequences of associated polynomials of the first kind $\{\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{G_m^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy respectively the three-term recurrence relations (1) and (3) with initial conditions $\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{(1)}(z) = 0_{N \times N}$, $\mathcal{B}_0^{(1)}(z) = A_0^{-1}$ and $G_{-1}^{(1)}(z) = 0_{N \times N}$, $G_0^{(1)}(z) = C_1^{-1}$.

The sequences of matrix polynomials $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy a Christoffel–Darboux type formula

$$(x - z) \sum_{k=0}^m G_k(z)V_k(x) = G_m(z)A_m V_{m+1}(x) - G_{m+1}(z)C_{m+1}V_m(x), \tag{7}$$

with $x, z \in \mathbb{C}$ and its confluent form

$$\sum_{k=0}^m G_k(x)V_k(x) = G_m(x)A_m V'_{m+1}(x) - G_{m+1}(x)C_{m+1}V'_m(x), \tag{8}$$

with $x \in \mathbb{C}$. The Christoffel–Darboux formula characterizes the matrix orthogonality (cf. [6]) and allows us to deduce the following result.

Theorem 1 (Liouville–Ostrogradski type formula). *Let $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequences of matrix polynomials bi-orthogonal with respect to \mathcal{F} with $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by (4). Let $\{\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be, respectively, the sequences of associated matrix polynomials of the first kind for $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}G_m - V_{m+1}G_{m-1}^{(1)} = A_m^{-1} \tag{9}$$

where A_m is the non-singular coefficient that appears in the recurrence relation (2).

Proof. The sequences of polynomials $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\{G_{m-1}^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy, respectively, the recurrence relations (1) and (3) with standard initial conditions.

To prove this result we proceed by induction. For $m = 0$ the result follows from the initial conditions. We assume the formula

$$\mathcal{B}_p^{(1)} G_p - V_{p+1} G_{p-1}^{(1)} = A_p^{-1},$$

is true for $p = 1, \dots, m - 1$.

To prove that this relation is also valid for $p = m$ we consider the following steps. First, we use the recurrence relation in $\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}$ and in V_{m+1} , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_m^{(1)} G_m - V_{m+1} G_{m-1}^{(1)} &= A_m^{-1} (zI_{N \times N} - B_m) (\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)} G_m - V_m G_{m-1}^{(1)}) \\ &\quad - A_m^{-1} C_m (\mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_m - V_{m-1} G_{m-1}^{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Second, we prove that $\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)} G_m - V_m G_{m-1}^{(1)} = 0_{N \times N}$.

Multiplying by G_m in the right side of (5) and multiplying by V_m in the left side of (6), changing m by $m - 1$ in the relations (5) and (6), and then subtracting these equations, we get

$$\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}(z) G_m(z) - V_m(z) G_{m-1}^{(1)}(z).$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}(z) G_m(z) - V_m(z) G_{m-1}^{(1)}(z) = V_m(z) (G_m^T(h(x)) \mathcal{U}_x) \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_0(x)}{z - h(x)} \right) - \mathcal{U}_x \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_m(x)}{z - h(x)} \right) G_m(z).$$

Adding and subtracting $(G_m^T(h(x)) \mathcal{U}_x) \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_m(x)}{z - h(x)} \right)$ in the last relation and taking in consideration the left and right orthogonalities, the result follows.

Using the above result we have

$$\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)} G_m - V_{m+1} G_{m-1}^{(1)} = -A_m^{-1} C_m (\mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_m - V_{m-1} G_{m-1}^{(1)}). \tag{10}$$

Again, using the recurrence relations for G_m and $G_{m-1}^{(1)}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_m - V_{m-1} G_{m-1}^{(1)}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_m - V_{m-1} G_{m-1}^{(1)} &= (\mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_{m-1} - V_{m-1} G_{m-2}^{(1)}) (zI_{N \times N} - B_{m-1}) C_m^{-1} \\ &\quad + (V_{m-1} G_{m-3}^{(1)} - \mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_{m-2}) A_{m-2} C_m^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_{m-1} - V_{m-1} G_{m-2}^{(1)} = 0_{N \times N}$, we get

$$\mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_m - V_{m-1} G_{m-1}^{(1)} = (V_{m-1} G_{m-3}^{(1)} - \mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_{m-2}) A_{m-2} C_m^{-1}.$$

Using this relation in (10) we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)} G_m - V_{m+1} G_{m-1}^{(1)} = -A_m^{-1} C_m (V_{m-1} G_{m-3}^{(1)} - \mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} G_{m-2}) A_{m-2} C_m^{-1}.$$

According to the hypothesis of induction the result follows. \square

Let \mathcal{U} be a quasi-definite vector of linear functionals and let $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of bi-orthogonal polynomials. We denote the *kernel polynomial* by

$$K_m(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} G_k(h(y)) V_k(h(x)).$$

Notice that, even though we do not have $K_m(x, y) = K_m^T(y, x)$ like in the matrix symmetric case, the reproducing property for the kernel holds.

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{U} be a quasi-definite vector of linear functionals, $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be, respectively, the left vector and right matrix orthogonal polynomials with respect to \mathcal{U} . Given a vector polynomial $\pi \in \mathbb{F}^N$ of degree m , i.e.,

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \beta_k^m \mathcal{B}_k(x), \quad \beta_k^m \in \mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{C}), \tag{11}$$

then $\pi(x) = (K_{m+1}^T(x, z)\mathcal{U}_z)(\pi(z))\mathcal{P}_0(x)$.

Proof. From (11), using the bi-orthogonality and taking into account $\mathcal{L}_n = G_n^T \mathcal{U}$, we get $\beta_k^m = \mathcal{L}_k(\pi(z)) = (G_k^T(h(z))\mathcal{U}_z)(\pi(z))$. Then,

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m (G_k^T(h(z))\mathcal{U}_z)(\pi(z))\mathcal{B}_k(x).$$

But, $(G_k^T(h(z))\mathcal{U}_z)(\pi(z))\mathcal{B}_k(x) = ((G_k(h(z))V_k(h(x)))^T \mathcal{U}_z)(\pi(z))\mathcal{P}_0(x)$. Hence, the result follows. \square

Let J be the block matrix defined by

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & A_0 & 0 & & \\ C_1 & B_1 & A_1 & \ddots & \\ 0 & C_2 & B_2 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

that is known in the literature as N -Jacobi matrix. When the matrix polynomials satisfy a symmetric recurrence relation, it was proved in [15] that the zeros of the m -th orthogonal polynomial are the eigenvalues of J_m . This result can be generalized for sequences of orthogonal polynomials satisfying non-symmetric recurrence relations. Thus, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the zeros of the matrix polynomials G_m and V_m are the zeros of the polynomial $\det(I_{mN \times mN} - J_m)$, with the same multiplicity, where $I_{mN \times mN}$ is the identity matrix of dimension $mN \times mN$ and J_m is the truncated N -block Jacobi matrix of dimension $mN \times mN$.

Also, for a matrix of measures W and for any polynomial V with degree less than or equal to $2m - 1$ the following quadrature formula holds

$$\int V(h(x))dW(h(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^s V(x_{m,k})\Gamma_{m,k}$$

where $x_{m,k}$, $k = 1, \dots, s$, are the zeros of the matrix polynomial V_m , in general, complex numbers as well as $s \leq mN$, and $\Gamma_{m,k}$ are the matrices

$$\Gamma_{m,k} = \frac{l_k}{(\det(V_m(x)))^{(l_k)}(x_{m,k})} (\text{Adj}(V_m(x)))^{(l_k-1)}(x_{m,k})\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}(x_{m,k}),$$

for $k = 1, \dots, s$, where l_k is the multiplicity of the zero $x_{m,k}$.

Using the above quadrature formula, in [7] we have obtained the following asymptotic result:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} V_m^{-1}(z)\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}(z) = \mathcal{F}(z)$$

locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \bigcap_{N \geq 0} M_N$ and $M_N = \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq N} \{\text{zeros of } V_n\}}$.

The structure of the manuscript is as follows.

In Section 2, sequences of matrix orthogonal polynomials belonging to the generalized matrix Nevai class are studied. Furthermore, the outer ratio asymptotics of two consecutive polynomials belonging to this class is obtained. We also study the generalized matrix Chebyshev polynomials and we present their explicit formulas as well as the corresponding generalized Markov function. The example presented in this section does not belong to the cases studied by Durán in [12] and also cannot be converted in these cases by the method presented by Dette and coworkers (see [8]).

In Section 3, we introduce a modification of a vector of linear functionals by adding a Dirac delta. This yields a reinterpretation and an extension of a perturbation in the usual matrix Nevai class (see [24,27,28]). On the other hand, the meaning of any discrete Sobolev inner product in vector terms is clarified and this is clearly related with sequences of polynomials satisfying higher order recurrence relations (cf. [7,9,13,23,29–32]). We also find necessary and sufficient conditions for the quasi-definiteness of the modified functional. The quasi-definiteness conditions obtained by the authors in [1,2,21] for some special examples coincide with our general result. To conclude this section, we describe the generalized Markov function associated to a modification by a Dirac delta functional.

Finally, in Section 4 we present the relative asymptotics between a sequence of matrix polynomials that belongs to the *generalized matrix Nevai class* and a sequence of matrix polynomials that is orthogonal with respect to a modification by a Dirac delta functional of this class. This result generalizes those obtained by Marcellán and coworkers (see [27]).

2. Generalized matrix Nevai class

Let \mathcal{M} be a matrix of measures in the generalized matrix Nevai class $M(A, B, C)$. Notice that \mathcal{M} can belong to several Nevai classes because of the non-uniqueness of the corresponding sequences of orthogonal polynomials.

If A and C are non-singular matrices we can introduce the sequence of matrix polynomials $\{U_m^{A,B,C}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by the recurrence formula

$$zU_m^{A,B,C}(z) = AU_{m+1}^{A,B,C}(z) + BU_m^{A,B,C}(z) + CU_{m-1}^{A,B,C}(z), \quad m \geq 1, \tag{13}$$

with initial conditions $U_0^{A,B,C}(z) = I_{N \times N}$ and $U_{-1}^{A,B,C}(z) = 0_{N \times N}$. According to an extension of the matrix Favard’s theorem (see [7]) this sequence is orthogonal with respect to a matrix of measures $\mathcal{M}_{A,B,C}$ that is not necessarily positive definite. This sequence of matrix polynomials is said to be the *sequence of matrix generalized second kind Chebyshev polynomials*.

The continued fraction associated with (13) or, equivalently, the generalized Markov function $\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z) = \frac{1}{(zI_{N \times N} - B) - A \frac{1}{zI_{N \times N} - B - A \frac{1}{zI_{N \times N} - B - \dots}} C}$$

where $1/X$ denotes the inverse of the matrix X .

Matrix continued fractions of different types were studied by many authors (cf. [3,18,26,33]). In [33] the reader can find a detailed study about matrix continued fractions and matrix Chebyshev polynomials, where the author emphasizes how continued fractions are used to develop the notion of matrix Chebyshev polynomials in some symmetric cases.

Now, let us consider a sequence of vector polynomials $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ left-orthogonal with respect to the vector of linear functionals \mathcal{U} satisfying the recurrence relation

$$h(z)\mathcal{B}_m(z) = A\mathcal{B}_{m+1}(z) + B\mathcal{B}_m(z) + C\mathcal{B}_{m-1}(z), \quad m \geq 1,$$

with initial conditions $\mathcal{B}_{-1}(z) = 0_{N \times 1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0(z) = \mathcal{P}_0(z)$, where A and C are non-singular matrices. It is straightforward to prove that the sequence of matrix polynomials $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, defined by $\mathcal{B}_m(z) =$

$V_m(h(z))\mathcal{P}_0(z)$, and the sequence $\{\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, of associated polynomials of the first kind for \mathcal{U} and $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, satisfy the same recurrence relation with the following initial conditions $V_{-1}(z) = 0_{N \times N}$, $V_0(z) = I_{N \times N}$, $\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{(1)}(z) = 0_{N \times N}$, and $\mathcal{B}_0^{(1)}(z) = A^{-1}$, respectively. These conditions are said to be the standard ones.

Rewriting the recurrence equations for $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a block matrix form, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} AV_{m+1} & A\mathcal{B}_m^{(1)} \\ V_m & \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} zI_{N \times N} - B & -C \\ I_{N \times N} & 0_{N \times N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_m & \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)} \\ V_{m-1} & \mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the matrix A is non-singular the last equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{m+1} & \mathcal{B}_m^{(1)} \\ V_m & \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1}(zI_{N \times N} - B) & -A^{-1}C \\ I_{N \times N} & 0_{N \times N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_m & \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)} \\ V_{m-1} & \mathcal{B}_{m-2}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Writing the last equation as $L_m = TL_{m-1}$ where

$$L_m = \begin{bmatrix} V_{m+1} & \mathcal{B}_m^{(1)} \\ V_m & \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1}(zI_{N \times N} - B) & -A^{-1}C \\ I_{N \times N} & 0_{N \times N} \end{bmatrix},$$

we have $L_m = T^m L_0$ with

$$L_0 = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1}(zI_{N \times N} - B) & A^{-1} \\ I_{N \times N} & 0_{N \times N} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For some particular choices of A, B , and C the matrix T^m has the spectral decomposition $T^m = SD^mS^{-1}$ where D is a diagonal.

Using this decomposition we can determine L_m and then, obtain V_m and $\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}$. By a straightforward calculation we obtain $V_m^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}$ and then taking the limit when $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get the generalized Markov function $\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}$.

As a sake of example, in the case of matrix polynomials of dimension 2×2 , if we consider the same problem but with different initial conditions, for instance, $\widehat{V}_0(z) = P, \widehat{V}_1(z) = M + Qz, \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{-1}^{(1)}(z) = 0_{2 \times 2}$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_0^{(1)}(z) = Q$, with

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

we can relate the generalized Markov function associated with these new initial conditions, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A,B,C}$, with $\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}$, in the following way

The block matrix \widehat{L}_m is given by

$$\widehat{L}_m = \widehat{T}^m \widehat{L}_0 = T^m \widehat{L}_0 = T^m L_0 L_0^{-1} \widehat{L}_0 = L_m L_0^{-1} \widehat{L}_0.$$

Using this relation we get,

$$\widehat{V}_m(z) = V_m(z)P + \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}(z) [AM + BP + (AQ - P)z]$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{m-1}^{(1)}(z) = \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}(z)AQ$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A,B,C}(z) = P^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z)AQ + M^{-1}Q + P^{-1}B^{-1}AQ + (I - P^{-1}AQ)z.$$

Example 1. In this example, we illustrate how can we determine the generalized Markov function associated with generalized second kind Chebyshev polynomials for a particular choice of the recurrence coefficients with standard initial conditions.

Let us consider $A, B,$ and C as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrices T and L_0 are

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1+z & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & z+1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1+z & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1+z & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Matrix T have the following eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1+z-\sqrt{(z+1)^2-4}), \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1+z+\sqrt{(z+1)^2-4}),$$

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2}(1+z-\sqrt{(z+1)^2+4}), \quad \lambda_4 = \frac{1}{2}(1+z+\sqrt{(z+1)^2+4})$$

and the corresponding eigenvectors are

$$v_1 = \left[-2, \frac{1}{2}(1+z-\sqrt{(z+1)^2+4}), \frac{4}{-1-z+\sqrt{(z+1)^2+4}}, 1 \right],$$

$$v_2 = \left[-2, \frac{1}{2}(1+z+\sqrt{(z+1)^2+4}), -\frac{4}{1+z+\sqrt{(z+1)^2+4}}, 1 \right],$$

$$v_3 = \left[0, \frac{1}{2}(1+z-\sqrt{(z+1)^2-4}), 0, 1 \right],$$

and

$$v_4 = \left[0, \frac{1}{2}(1+z+\sqrt{(z+1)^2-4}), 0, 1 \right].$$

Then, the matrix T^m has the following spectral decomposition

$$T^m = SD^mS^{-1},$$

where $D = \text{diagonal}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4]$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $S = [v_1 | v_2 | v_3 | v_4]$, where λ_i and v_i , for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are, respectively, the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the matrix D .

Thus we can determine L_{m+1} and then, obtain V_m and $\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}$ as follows

$$V_m(z) = -\frac{1}{2}E_{m+2}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1+z & 0 \end{bmatrix} + E_{m+1}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1+z & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} + E_m(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ F_{m+1}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(2+z)z & 1+z \end{bmatrix} - F_m(z) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1+z & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}(z) = E_{m+2}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} + E_{m+1}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + F_{m+1}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(1+z) & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$E_m(z) = 2^{-m} \frac{(1+z+\sqrt{(z+1)^2+4})^m - (1+z-\sqrt{(z+1)^2+4})^m}{\sqrt{(1+z)^2+4}},$$

$$F_m(z) = 2^{-m} \frac{(1+z+\sqrt{(z+1)^2-4})^m - (1+z-\sqrt{(z+1)^2-4})^m}{\sqrt{(1+z)^2-4}}.$$

By a straightforward calculation we obtain $V_m^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}$. Taking the limit when $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{1+z+\sqrt{(1+z)^2+4}} & 0 \\ \frac{4+(1+z-\sqrt{(1+z)^2+4})(1+z-\sqrt{(1+z)^2-4}-\sqrt{(1+z)^2+4})}{(1+z+\sqrt{(1+z)^2-4})(1+z+\sqrt{(1+z)^2+4})} & \frac{2}{1+z+\sqrt{(1+z)^2-4}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This example inspired us to prove the ratio asymptotics between two consecutive polynomials in the generalized matrix Nevai class. Indeed, without loss of generality, we consider a sequence of matrix polynomials $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by (1) such that $V_0(z) = I_{N \times N}$. But first, we need to introduce the following result.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials in the generalized matrix Nevai class $M(A, B, C)$. Then, there exists a positive constant M , which does not depend on m , such that their zeros $x_{m,k}$ are contained in a disk $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < M\}$.*

Proof. Let us consider the N -block Jacobi matrix, J (see (12)), associated with the recurrence relation (1). Remember also, that the zeros of V_m are the eigenvalues of J_m where J_m is the truncated matrix of J , with dimension $mN \times mN$.

Taking into account that the sequences $\{A_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{B_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\{C_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge, and using the Gershgorin disk theorem for the location of eigenvalues, it follows that there exists $M > 0$ such that if $x_{m,k}$ is a zero of V_m then $x_{m,k} \in D$ where $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < M\}$. So, Γ defined by

$$\Gamma = \bigcap_{N \geq 0} M_N, \quad M_N = \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq N} \{\text{zeros of } V_m\}},$$

is contained in D and $\text{supp}(W) \subset \Gamma \subset D$. \square

Theorem 3. *Let $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of matrix polynomials left-orthogonal with respect to the matrix of measures \mathcal{M} and satisfying the three-term recurrence relation (1). Assume that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m = A$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_m = B$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} C_m = C$ with A and C non-singular matrices. Then,*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} V_{m-1}(z)V_m^{-1}(z)A_{m-1}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma, \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}$ is the Markov transform of the matrix of measures for the generalized second kind Chebyshev polynomials. Moreover, the convergence is locally uniformly for compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \bigcap_{N \geq 0} M_N$, $M_N = \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq N} Z_m}$, and Z_m is the set of the zeros of V_m .

Proof. First, we consider the sequence of discrete matrix measures $\{\mu_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$\mu_m = \sum_{k=1}^s V_{m-1}(h(y_{m,k})) \Gamma_{m,k} G_{m-1}(h(y_{m,k})) \delta_{y_{m,k}}, \quad m \geq 0,$$

where $y_{m,k}$ are complex numbers such that $h(y_{m,k}) = x_{m,k}$, with $x_{m,k}$, $k = 1, \dots, s$, the zeros of the polynomial V_m , and the matrix $\Gamma_{m,k}$ is given by

$$\Gamma_{m,k} = \frac{l_k (\text{Adj}(V_m(x)))^{(l_k-1)}(x_{m,k}) \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}(x_{m,k})}{(\det(V_m(x)))^{(l_k)}(x_{m,k})}, \quad k = 1, \dots, s,$$

l_k being the multiplicity of the zero $x_{m,k}$, $l_k \leq N$, and $\{\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of associated polynomials of the first kind for $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and \mathcal{U} . Notice that $\Gamma_{m,k}$ is the weight in the quadrature formula. Hence, it follows that

$$\int d\mu_m(h(x)) = I_{N \times N}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 0.$$

The decomposition, (cf. [19]),

$$V_{m-1}(z) V_m^{-1}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^s C_{m,k} \frac{1}{z - x_{m,k}},$$

with

$$C_{m,k} = \frac{l_k V_{m-1}(x_{m,k}) (\text{Adj}(V_m(t)))^{(l_k-1)}(x_{m,k})}{(\det(V_m(t)))^{(l_k)}(x_{m,k})}$$

is always possible even though the zeros of V_m are complex or have multiplicity greater than one (see [5, 7, 11]). Then, we have

$$C_{m,k} A_{m-1}^{-1} = \frac{l_k V_{m-1}(x_{m,k}) (\text{Adj}(V_m(t)))^{(l_k-1)}(x_{m,k}) A_{m-1}^{-1}}{(\det(V_m(t)))^{(l_k)}(x_{m,k})}.$$

Applying the generalized Liouville–Ostrogradski formula (9) and taking into account that for every \mathbf{b} , a zero of a matrix polynomial V_m , (see [10])

$$V_m(\mathbf{b}) (\text{Adj}(V_m(t)))^{(p-1)}(\mathbf{b}) = (\text{Adj}(V_m(t)))^{(p-1)}(\mathbf{b}) V_m(\mathbf{b}) = 0_{N \times N},$$

we obtain

$$C_{m,k} A_{m-1}^{-1} = V_{m-1}(h(y_{m,k})) \Gamma_{m,k} G_{m-1}(h(y_{m,k})).$$

From the definition of the matrix of measures μ_m we get

$$V_{m-1}(z) V_m^{-1}(z) A_{m-1}^{-1} = \int \frac{d\mu_m(h(x))}{z - h(x)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma.$$

Let us consider the generalized Chebyshev matrix polynomials of second kind $\{U_m^{A,B,C}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by (13). We can prove by induction that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int U_l^{A,B,C}(h(t)) d\mu_m(h(t)) = \begin{cases} I_{N \times N}, & \text{for } l = 0, \\ 0_{N \times N}, & \text{for } l \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

To do it, we just use the same technicalities as in [12].

We are now ready to prove

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{d\mu_m(h(x))}{z - h(x)} = \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma.$$

If not, we can find a complex number $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers $\{n_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$, and a positive constant C such that

$$\left\| \int \frac{d\mu_{n_l}(h(x))}{z - h(x)} - \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z) \right\|_2 \geq C > 0, \quad l \geq 0, \tag{16}$$

where $\| \cdot \|_2$ denotes the spectral norm of a matrix, i.e.,

$$\|A\|_2 = \max\{\sqrt{\lambda} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A^*A\}.$$

Since $\{\mu_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of matrices of measures with support contained in a disk D (see Lemma 1) and taking into account that $\int d\mu_m = I_{N \times N}$, by using the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, we can obtain a subsequence $\{r_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ from $\{n_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$, defined on a curve γ_M contained in the disk D , with the same k -th moments of the vector of linear functionals \mathcal{U} , for $k \leq 2r_l - 1$, such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_M} f(h(x)) d\mu_{r_l}(h(x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_M} f(h(z)) \mathcal{U}_x \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_0(x)}{z - h(x)} \right) dz,$$

for any continuous matrix function f defined in D .

Hence, taking $f(h(x)) = U_l^{A,B,C}(h(x))$, we have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_M} U_l^{A,B,C}(h(x)) d\mu_{r_l}(h(x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_M} U_l^{A,B,C}(h(z)) \mathcal{U}_x \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_0(x)}{z - h(x)} \right).$$

From (15) we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_M} U_l^{A,B,C}(h(z)) \mathcal{U}_x \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_0(x)}{z - h(x)} \right) = \begin{cases} I_{N \times N}, & \text{for } l = 0, \\ 0_{N \times N}, & \text{for } l \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

But, the sequence of matrix polynomials $\{U_m^{A,B,C}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is orthogonal with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}$. Since $\{U_m^{A,B,C}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis of the linear space of matrix polynomials we get that (16) is not possible. Each entry of the matrix $\int \frac{d\mu_m(h(x))}{z - h(x)}$ is uniformly bounded on compact sets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then, according to the Stieltjes–Vitali theorem, we get the uniform convergence. \square

Notice that we have analogous results of Lemma 1 and Theorem 3 for the sequence of matrix polynomials $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Corollary 1. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3 we have that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (V_{m-1}(z)V_m^{-1}(z))^{(k)} A_{m-1}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}^{(k)}(z) \tag{17}$$

on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

The locally uniformly convergence in (17) means that every entry of the left hand-side of (17) is locally uniformly convergent to its corresponding entry in the right hand-side of (17).

3. Delta functionals

In this section we deal with a vector of linear functionals that results of a modification by a Dirac delta functional and we will illustrate it with a nice application related to Sobolev inner products. Thus,

we can reinterpret these inner products in a vectorial form and it is a motivation for the study of these modifications.

In a generic way, we can say that a *Dirac delta functional* is a vector of linear functionals where the functional components are linear combinations of Dirac deltas and their derivatives in a finite set of points in the real line.

If the polynomial h of fixed degree N consider in the previous sections is such that

$$h(x) = \prod_{j=1}^M (x - c_j)^{M_j+1}, \tag{18}$$

where $M_j + 1$ is the multiplicity of each $c_j \in \mathbb{N}$ as a zero of h , then we can define a new vector of linear functionals as the result of a modification by the addition of a Dirac delta functional with respect to h as follows.

Definition 2. The vector of linear functionals \tilde{u} defined by

$$\tilde{u} = u + \Lambda \delta, \tag{19}$$

with Λ a numerical matrix of dimension $N \times N$ where

$$\delta = [\delta_{c_1} \delta'_{c_1} \dots \delta_{c_1}^{(M_1)} \delta_{c_2} \delta'_{c_2} \dots \delta_{c_2}^{(M_2)} \dots \delta_{c_M} \delta'_{c_M} \dots \delta_{c_M}^{(M_M)}]^T,$$

where $N = M + \sum_{j=1}^M M_j$, is called *vector of linear functionals modified by a Dirac delta functional associated with h* .

First, as a motivation for the study of these modifications, we will consider the following example. It gives a vectorial reinterpretation of a Sobolev inner product. It is important to refer that with the same technics presented in this example, a vector reinterpretation for any general discrete Sobolev inner product holds.

Example 2 (see [21]). Let us consider the discrete Sobolev inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_S := \int_I fg d\mu + \lambda f'(0)g'(0), \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{20}$$

To establish the parallelism between vector orthogonality and Sobolev inner products, let $\{\tilde{p}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of scalar polynomials orthonormal with respect to the inner product (20), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{p}_n, x^k \rangle_S &= 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n - 1, \\ \langle \tilde{p}_n, x^n \rangle_S &\neq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Notice that the multiplication by the polynomial $h(x) = x^2$ yields a symmetric operator with respect to the above Sobolev inner product, i.e.,

$$\langle x^2 f, g \rangle_S = \langle f, x^2 g \rangle_S, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathbb{P},$$

and, as a consequence, the corresponding sequence of orthonormal polynomials $\{\tilde{p}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies, for $n \geq 0$, a five-term recurrence relation

$$x^2 \tilde{p}_{n+1} = c_{n+3,2} \tilde{p}_{n+3} + c_{n+2,1} \tilde{p}_{n+2} + c_{n+1,0} \tilde{p}_{n+1} + c_{n+1,1} \tilde{p}_n + c_{n+1,2} \tilde{p}_{n-1}. \tag{22}$$

On the other hand, taking into account the vectorial approach given in [7], the recurrence relation (22) yields the following vector expression

$$x^2 \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(x) = \tilde{A}_{m+1} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{m+1}(x) + \tilde{B}_m \mathcal{B}_m(x) + \tilde{A}_m^T \mathcal{B}_{m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 0,$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(x) = [\tilde{p}_{2m}(x) \tilde{p}_{2m+1}(x)]^T$,

$$\tilde{A}_m = \begin{bmatrix} c_{2m,2} & 0 \\ c_{2m,1} & c_{2m+1,2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B}_m = \begin{bmatrix} c_{2m,0} & c_{2m+1,1} \\ c_{2m+1,1} & c_{2m+1,0} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that $\tilde{B}_m = \tilde{B}_m^T$ as well as we are dealing with a symmetric case. Then, by Favard’s type theorem (see [7]), there exists a vector of linear functionals $\tilde{u} = [\tilde{u}^1 \ \tilde{u}^2]^T$ such that the sequence of vector polynomials $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is left-orthogonal with respect to \tilde{u} and

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{2k}\tilde{u})(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m) &= \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1, \\ (x^{2m}\tilde{u})(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m) &= \tilde{\Delta}_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_m$ is a non-singular upper triangular matrix.

From the definition of a vector of linear functionals, the previous orthogonality conditions are given explicitly, for all $k = 0, \dots, m - 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{2k}\tilde{u})(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle \tilde{u}^1, x^{2k}\tilde{p}_{2m} \rangle & \langle \tilde{u}^2, x^{2k}\tilde{p}_{2m} \rangle \\ \langle \tilde{u}^1, x^{2k}\tilde{p}_{2m+1} \rangle & \langle \tilde{u}^2, x^{2k}\tilde{p}_{2m+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ (x^{2m}\tilde{u})(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle \tilde{u}^1, x^{2m}\tilde{p}_{2m} \rangle & \langle \tilde{u}^2, x^{2m}\tilde{p}_{2m} \rangle \\ \langle \tilde{u}^1, x^{2m}\tilde{p}_{2m+1} \rangle & \langle \tilde{u}^2, x^{2m}\tilde{p}_{2m+1} \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The vector of linear functionals \tilde{u} represents a Sobolev inner product like (20) only if it is a modification by a Dirac delta functional, as we will describe in the sequel. In fact, the vector of linear functionals \tilde{u} has the representation

$$\tilde{u} = u + \Lambda \delta \tag{24}$$

where

$$u = [u \ xu]^T, \quad \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix}, \quad \delta = [\delta_0 \ \delta'_0]^T,$$

and where u is a linear functional on the linear space of scalar polynomials \mathbb{P} such that $u(p(x)) = \int_I p(x) d\mu(x)$. Here μ is the weight function that appears in the Sobolev discrete inner product (20).

To illustrate that the orthogonality conditions (21) and (23) are equivalent, first, take $k = 0$ in (23),

$$\tilde{u}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m) = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, \tilde{p}_{2m} \rangle_S & \langle x, \tilde{p}_{2m} \rangle_S \\ \langle 1, \tilde{p}_{2m+1} \rangle_S & \langle x, \tilde{p}_{2m+1} \rangle_S \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, for all $k = 1, \dots, m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(x^{2k}\tilde{u})(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m) = \begin{bmatrix} \langle x^{2k}, \tilde{p}_{2m} \rangle_S & \langle x^{2k+1}, \tilde{p}_{2m} \rangle_S \\ \langle x^{2k}, \tilde{p}_{2m+1} \rangle_S & \langle x^{2k+1}, \tilde{p}_{2m+1} \rangle_S \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \neq 0 & \bullet \\ 0 & \neq 0 \end{bmatrix} \delta_{k,m}.$$

Thus, these relations show us that the orthogonality conditions (21) and (23) are equivalent and it means that the discrete Sobolev inner product (20) can be represented in the vectorial form by (24).

Motivated by this example the first question naturally imposed consists to know when \tilde{u} defined by (19) is quasi-definite, i.e., when there exists a sequence of vector polynomials $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ left-

orthogonal with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$. Then, the next step is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the quasi-definiteness of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$.

In the sequel, we denote by $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ the vector sequence of polynomials left-orthogonal with respect to \mathcal{U} and $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of polynomials associated with $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ defined by (19), i.e., the sequence of polynomials satisfying

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m) = 0_{N \times N}, \quad m \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0) \text{ is a non-singular matrix.} \tag{25}$$

As above, we will denote by $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of matrix polynomials defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(x) = \tilde{V}_m(h(x))\mathcal{P}_0(x),$$

with $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = [1 \ x \ \dots \ x^{N-1}]^T$.

Before the statement of conditions that give us quasi-definiteness for $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, we need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 2. *Let $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a vector sequence of polynomials left-orthogonal with respect to the vector of linear functionals \mathcal{U} and $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a vector sequence of polynomials associated with $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ defined by (19) and verifying (25). Then, the following statements hold*

$$\begin{aligned} (h^k \tilde{\mathcal{U}})(\mathcal{B}_m) &= (h^k \mathcal{U})(\mathcal{B}_m) = 0_{N \times N}, \quad k \geq 1, \\ (h^k \tilde{\mathcal{U}})(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m) &= (h^k \mathcal{U})(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m), \quad k \geq 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of this result is straightforward taking into account that for any vector polynomial $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}^N$, we have

$$(h^k \delta)(\mathcal{P}) = 0_{N \times N}, \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1,$$

with

$$\delta = \left[\delta_{c_1} \ \delta'_{c_1} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_1}^{(M_1)} \ \delta_{c_2} \ \delta'_{c_2} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_2}^{(M_2)} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_M} \ \delta'_{c_M} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_M}^{(M_M)} \right]^T,$$

and the result follows. \square

In the literature about discrete Sobolev orthogonal polynomials (see for example [1,2,4,17,20–22]) the reader could find the same conditions that we will achieve in the next result. Another interesting work related with this topic is [27]. There, the authors studied the outer relative asymptotics between a matrix polynomial belonging to a matrix Nevai class and a matrix polynomial that is orthogonal to a perturbation of such a matrix of measures in the matrix Nevai class. There the existence of matrix orthogonal polynomials with respect to perturbations in the matrix Nevai class is assumed. The next theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of such matrix polynomials in a more general case.

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{U} be a quasi-definite vector of linear functionals, $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the vector sequence of polynomials left-orthogonal with respect to \mathcal{U} and $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated vector sequence of polynomials, verifying (25), to the vector linear functional $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ defined by (19). The vector of linear functionals $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is quasi-definite if and only if*

$$I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l), \quad m \geq 0,$$

is a non-singular matrix for all c_l zero of h defined by (18).

Proof. For the vector sequences $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, we can consider the sequences of matrix polynomials $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_m(z) = V_m(h(z))\mathcal{P}_0(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(z) = \tilde{V}_m(h(z))\mathcal{P}_0(z),$$

with $\mathcal{P}_0(z) = [1 \ z \ \dots \ z^{N-1}]^T$. Using the reproducing property for the kernel we get

$$V_m(h(x)) = (K_{m+1}^T(x, z)\tilde{u}_z)(\mathcal{B}_m(z)).$$

Similarly, we can write

$$\tilde{V}_m(h(x)) = (K_{m+1}^T(x, z)\tilde{u}_z)(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(z)) - \sum_{j=0}^m (G_j^T(h(z))\Lambda \delta_z)(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(z))V_j(h(x)),$$

where δ_z is the Dirac delta functional acting on z . Notice that in the right hand side of the above identity

$$(G_j^T(h(z))\Lambda \delta_z)(\tilde{V}_m(h(z))\mathcal{P}_0(z)) = (G_j^T(h(c_l))\Lambda \delta_z)(\tilde{V}_m(h(z))\mathcal{P}_0(z)),$$

holds for any zero c_l of the polynomial h , independently of its multiplicity. From now on, we write $h(c_l) = 0$.

Again, since

$$\delta_z(\tilde{V}_m(h(z))\mathcal{P}_0(z)) = \tilde{V}_m(0)\delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z)),$$

then

$$\sum_{j=0}^m (G_j^T(h(z))\Lambda \delta_z)(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(z))V_j(h(x)) = \tilde{V}_m(0) \sum_{j=0}^m \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T G_j(0)V_j(h(x)).$$

Now, to analyze $(K_{m+1}^T(x, z)\tilde{u}_z)(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(z))$ we use the definition of the kernel $K_m(x, z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} G_j(h(z))V_j(h(x))$ and consider the following representation for G_m , $G_m(h(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^m \beta_k^m (h(x))^k$, $\beta_k^m \in \mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{C})$. Then, we have

$$(K_{m+1}^T(x, z)\tilde{u}_z)(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(z)) = \sum_{j=0}^m \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^j (h(x))^k \tilde{u}_z \right) (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(z))\beta_k^j \right] V_j(h(x)).$$

Hence,

$$(K_{m+1}^T(x, z)\tilde{u}_z)(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m(z)) = \tilde{\Delta}_m \beta_m^m V_m(h(x))$$

if and only if $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is left-orthogonal with respect to \tilde{u}_z , satisfying the orthogonality conditions

$$(h^k \tilde{u}) (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_m) = \tilde{\Delta}_m \delta_{k,m}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_m$ is a non-singular matrix.

Thus,

$$\tilde{V}_m(h(x)) = D_m V_m(h(x)) - \tilde{V}_m(0)\delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(x, c_l) \tag{26}$$

where $D_m = \tilde{\Delta}_m \beta_m^m$ is a non-singular matrix. Taking $x = c_l$ we get

$$\tilde{V}_m(0)(I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l)) = D_m V_m(0).$$

$\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, is completely determined by the data if and only if

$$I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l), \quad m \geq 0,$$

is a non-singular matrix for any zero c_l of the polynomial h , independently of its multiplicity. \square

If we apply this result to the previous example, then it is straightforward to deduce that these conditions are the same as those obtained in [21] for the existence of a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the Sobolev inner product (20).

In the sequel h is a polynomial of fixed degree N defined by (18) and sequences of matrix polynomials $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ are given by $V_m(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m \alpha_j^m z^j$ and $G_m(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m \beta_j^m z^j$. These sequences are bi-orthogonal with respect to the generalized Markov function \mathcal{F} and satisfy the recurrence relations (1) and (3), respectively.

Theorem 5. *Let \mathcal{U} be a quasi-definite vector functional, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ quasi-definite vector functional defined by (19), and h be a polynomial of fixed degree N . Let \mathcal{F} and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the generalized Markov functions associated with \mathcal{U} and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, respectively. Then,*

$$z\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(z) = z\mathcal{F}(z) + \delta_x(\mathcal{P}_0(x))\Lambda^T, \tag{27}$$

with $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = [1 \ x \ \dots \ x^{N-1}]^T$ and

$$\delta = \left[\delta_{c_1} \ \delta'_{c_1} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_1}^{(M_1)} \ \delta_{c_2} \ \delta'_{c_2} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_2}^{(M_2)} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_M} \ \delta'_{c_M} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_M}^{(M_M)} \right]^T,$$

with $N = M + \sum_{j=1}^M M_j$.

Proof. From the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} z\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(z) &= z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_x(h(x))^k \mathcal{P}_0(x)}{z^{k+1}} \\ &= z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{U}_x((h(x))^k \mathcal{P}_0(x)) + (\Lambda \delta_x)((h(x))^k \mathcal{P}_0(x))}{z^{k+1}} \\ &= z\mathcal{F}(z) + (\Lambda \delta_x)(\mathcal{P}_0(x)) \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show. \square

On the other hand, we can also consider the sequences $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{G}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\tilde{V}_m(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m \tilde{\alpha}_j^m z^j$ and $\tilde{G}_m(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m \tilde{\beta}_j^m z^j$ are bi-orthogonal sequences with respect to the functional $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by (27).

The next result states the relation between $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 6. *Let $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of matrix polynomials left-orthogonal with respect to the generalized Markov function \mathcal{F} and satisfying the three-term recurrence relation*

$$h(x)V_m(z) = A_m V_{m+1}(z) + B_m V_m(z) + C_m V_{m-1}(z), \quad m \geq 1.$$

Let $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of matrix polynomials left-orthogonal with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by (27). Then, the sequence of matrix polynomials $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined by

$$h(x)\tilde{V}_m(z) = \alpha_{m+1}^1 V_{m+1}(z) + \alpha_m^2 V_m(z) + \alpha_{m-1}^3 V_{m-1}(z). \tag{28}$$

with α_{m+1}^1 , α_m^2 , and α_{m-1}^3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{m+1}^1 &= D_m A_m - L_m \beta_0^m A_m \\ \alpha_m^2 &= D_m B_m + L_m \beta_0^{m+1} C_{m+1} \\ \alpha_{m-1}^3 &= D_m C_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $L_m = D_m V_m(0) [I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l)]^{-1} \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T$ and $D_m = (\tilde{\beta}_m^m)^{-1} \beta_m^m$.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_m(h(x)) &= D_m V_m(h(x)) \\ &\quad - D_m V_m(0)[I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l)]^{-1} \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(x, c_l). \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we denote by L_m the matrix

$$L_m = D_m V_m(0)[I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l)]^{-1} \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T.$$

Then

$$\tilde{V}_m(h(x)) = D_m V_m(h(x)) - L_m K_{m+1}(x, c_l).$$

Using the definition of the kernel as well as the three-term recurrence relation that $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies, then

$$\begin{aligned} z\tilde{V}_m(z) &= [D_m A_m - L_m G_m(0)A_m]V_{m+1}(z) \\ &\quad + [D_m B_m + L_m G_{m+1}(0)C_{m+1}]V_m(z) + D_m C_m V_{m-1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Then the comparison of the coefficients in (28) leads to the representation of α_{m+1}^1 , α_m^2 , and α_{m-1}^3 . \square

Example 3. In the last theorem if we consider the generalized second kind Chebyshev polynomials, $\{U_m^{A,B,C}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, left-orthogonal with respect to the generalized Markov function $\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}$ and satisfying the three-term recurrence relation

$$zU_m^{A,B,C}(z) = AU_{m+1}^{A,B,C}(z) + BU_m^{A,B,C}(z) + CU_{m-1}^{A,B,C}(z), \quad m \geq 1,$$

and consider the matrix polynomials $\{\tilde{U}_m^{A,B,C}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ left-orthogonal with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{A,B,C}$ given by

$$z\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{A,B,C}(z) = z\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z) + \delta_x(\mathcal{P}_0(x))\Lambda^T$$

with $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = [1 \ x \ \dots \ x^{N-1}]^T$ and

$$\delta = [\delta_{c_1} \ \delta'_{c_1} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_1}^{(M_1)} \ \delta_{c_2} \ \delta'_{c_2} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_2}^{(M_2)} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_M} \ \delta'_{c_M} \ \dots \ \delta_{c_M}^{(M_M)}]^T,$$

we get that

$$z\tilde{U}_m^{A,B,C}(z) = \alpha_{m+1}^1 U_{m+1}^{A,B,C}(z) + \alpha_m^2 U_m^{A,B,C}(z) + \alpha_{m-1}^3 U_{m-1}^{A,B,C}(z),$$

with α_{m+1}^1 , α_m^2 , and α_{m-1}^3 given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{m+1}^1 &= (\tilde{\beta}_m^m)^{-1} \beta_m^m A - L_m \beta_0^m A, \\ \alpha_m^2 &= (\tilde{\beta}_m^m)^{-1} \beta_m^m B + L_m \beta_0^{m+1} C, \\ \alpha_{m-1}^3 &= (\tilde{\beta}_m^m)^{-1} \beta_m^m C, \end{aligned}$$

with $L_m = (\tilde{\beta}_m^m)^{-1} \beta_m^m U_m^{A,B,C}(0)[I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l)]^{-1} \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T$.

4. Relative asymptotics

The works about perturbations in the matrix Nevai class (see [27,28]) motivated us to study the outer relative asymptotics in the case of the generalized matrix Nevai class.

First, we present how can we reinterpret the model studied by the authors from a vectorial point of view. Second, the outer relative asymptotics is deduced.

Let $\{\tilde{G}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{B}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be bi-orthogonal with respect to \tilde{u} , i.e.,

$$\tilde{G}_n^T(h(x))\tilde{u}(\tilde{B}_m) = I_{N \times N} \delta_{n,m}, \tag{29}$$

where $\tilde{u} = u + \Lambda \delta$ with Λ a numerical matrix of dimension $N \times N$,

$$\delta = \left[\delta_{c_1} \delta'_{c_1} \dots \delta_{c_1}^{(M_1)} \delta_{c_2} \delta'_{c_2} \dots \delta_{c_2}^{(M_2)} \dots \delta_{c_M} \delta'_{c_M} \dots \delta_{c_M}^{(M_M)} \right]^T,$$

and h is defined by (18).

By a straightforward calculation in (29) we have

$$(\tilde{G}_n^T(h(x))(u + \Lambda \delta_x))(\tilde{B}_m) = (\tilde{G}_n^T(h(x))u)(\tilde{B}_m) + ((\tilde{G}_n(h(c_l)))^T \Lambda \delta_x)(\tilde{B}_m),$$

where c_l is any zero of the polynomial h .

Since $h(c_l) = 0$ and

$$((\tilde{G}_n(0))^T \Lambda \delta_x)(\tilde{B}_m) = \tilde{V}_m(0) \delta_x(\mathcal{P}_0) \Lambda^T \tilde{G}_n(0).$$

Thus

$$(\tilde{G}_n^T(h(x))(u + \Lambda \delta_x))(\tilde{B}_m) = (\tilde{G}_n^T(h(x))u)(\tilde{B}_m) + \tilde{V}_m(0) \delta_x(\mathcal{P}_0) \Lambda^T \tilde{G}_n(0).$$

By the bi-orthogonality, we have the following matrix interpretation

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \tilde{V}_m(z) \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(z) \tilde{G}_m(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \tilde{V}_m(z) \mathcal{F}(z) \tilde{G}_m(z) dz + \tilde{V}_m(0) \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z)) \Lambda^T \tilde{G}_n(0)$$

where we get the same model studied by Marcellán, Piñar, and Yakhlef when we choose all zeros of the polynomial h equal to c , i.e., $h(x) = (x - c)^N$.

Next, we will deduce the outer relative asymptotics of $\{\tilde{V}_m(V_m)^{-1}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ when $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ belongs to the generalized matrix Nevai class.

To prove it, the following lemma is needed as an auxiliary result.

Lemma 3. *Let u and $\tilde{u} = u + \Lambda \delta$ be quasi-definite vector linear functionals and let \mathcal{F} and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the generalized Markov functions associated to u and \tilde{u} , respectively. The sequences of matrix polynomials bi-orthogonal to \mathcal{F} and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ are denoted by $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\{\tilde{G}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, respectively. Let also, $\alpha_m^m, \tilde{\alpha}_m^m$ and $\beta_m^m, \tilde{\beta}_m^m$ be the leading coefficients of h of V_m, \tilde{V}_m and G_m, \tilde{G}_m , respectively.*

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left((\beta_m^m)^{-1} \tilde{\beta}_m^m \right) \left(\tilde{\alpha}_m^m (\alpha_m^m)^{-1} \right) &= I_{N \times N} - V_m(0) (I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z)) \Lambda^T K_{m+1} \\ &\quad \times (c_l, c_l)^{-1} \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z)) \Lambda^T G_m(0). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Proof. Taking into account (26), we have

$$\tilde{V}_m(h(x)) = D_m V_m(h(x)) - \tilde{V}_m(0) \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z)) \Lambda^T K_{m+1}(x, c_l),$$

where $D_m = (\tilde{\beta}_m^m)^{-1} \beta_m^m$ (see theorem 8 of [7]), and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_m^m (\alpha_m^m)^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \tilde{V}_m(h(x)) \mathcal{F}(h(x)) G_m(h(x)) d(h(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int (D_m(V_m(h(x)) - V_m(0) (I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z)) \Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z)) \Lambda^T K_{m+1}(x, c_l))) \mathcal{F}(h(x)) G_m(h(x)) d(h(x)) \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$D_m^{-1} \tilde{\alpha}_m^m (\alpha_m^m)^{-1} = I_{N \times N} - V_m(0) \left(I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z)) \Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l) \right)^{-1} \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z)) \Lambda^T G_m(0)$$

and the statement follows. \square

Theorem 7. Let \mathcal{U} and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U} + \Lambda \delta$ be quasi-definite vector linear functionals and let \mathcal{F} and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the generalized Markov functions associated with \mathcal{U} and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, respectively. The sequences of matrix polynomials bi-orthogonal to \mathcal{F} and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ are denoted by $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\{\tilde{G}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, respectively. Let also α_m^m , $\tilde{\alpha}_m^m$ and β_m^m , $\tilde{\beta}_m^m$ be the leading coefficients of V_m , \tilde{V}_m and G_m , \tilde{G}_m , respectively.

Assume that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m = A, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_m = B, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} C_m = C,$$

where $\{A_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{B_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\{C_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ are the sequences of numerical matrices involved in the recurrence relation (1). Then,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\beta_m^m)^{-1} \tilde{\beta}_m^m \tilde{\alpha}_m^m (\alpha_m^m)^{-1} = I_{N \times N} + \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(0) (\mathcal{F}'_{A,B,C}(0))^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(0).$$

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4. Let $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequences of matrix bi-orthogonal polynomials with respect to the generalized Markov function \mathcal{F} . Let A_m , B_m , and C_m be the matrix coefficients that appear in the recurrence relation (1) such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m = A, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_m = B, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} C_m = C,$$

where A and C are non-singular matrices, then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G_m^{-1}(z) = 0_{N \times N} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} V_m^{-1}(z) = 0_{N \times N}$$

locally uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \bigcap_{N \geq 0} M_N$, $M_N = \overline{\bigcup_{m \geq N} Z_m}$, and Z_m is the set of the zeros of V_m .

Proof. From Liouville–Ostrogradski type formula we have that

$$\begin{aligned} V_m^{-1} A_{m-1}^{-1} G_{m-1}^{-1} &= V_m^{-1} \left(\mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)} G_{m-1} - V_m G_{m-2}^{(1)} \right) G_{m-1}^{-1} \\ &= V_m^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)} - G_{m-2}^{(1)} G_{m-1}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From the generalized Markov theorem for V_m and its analogue for G_m , i.e.,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} V_m^{-1}(z) \mathcal{B}_{m-1}^{(1)}(z) = \mathcal{F}(z), \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G_{m-1}^{(1)}(z) G_m^{-1}(z) = \mathcal{F}(z)$$

we have that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} V_m^{-1}(z) A_{m-1}^{-1} G_{m-1}^{-1}(z) = 0_{N \times N}.$$

But

$$V_m^{-1}(z) A_{m-1}^{-1} G_{m-1}^{-1}(z) = V_{m-1}^{-1}(z) V_{m-1}(z) V_m^{-1}(z) A_{m-1}^{-1} G_{m-1}^{-1}(z)$$

According to Theorem 3

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} V_{m-1}(z) V_m^{-1}(z) A_{m-1}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z)$$

and since V_m^{-1} is bounded for the spectral norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ (see Lemma 1), we have that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G_m^{-1}(0) = 0_{N \times N}$. To prove $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} V_m^{-1}(0) = 0_{N \times N}$ we follow an analogue way. \square

Proof of Theorem 7. Writing $\Phi(c_l) = (\beta_m^m)^{-1} \tilde{\beta}_m^m \tilde{\alpha}_m^m (\alpha_m^m)^{-1}$, by Lemma 3 we have

$$\Phi_m(c_l) = I_{N \times N} - [G_m^{-1}(0)((\Lambda \delta_z)(\mathcal{P}_0(z)))^{-1} V_m^{-1}(0) + G_m^{-1}(0)K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l)V_m^{-1}(0)]^{-1}$$

To analyze $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_m(c_l)$ we start by proving that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G_m^{-1}(0)K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l)V_m^{-1}(0) = -\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}^{-1}(0)\mathcal{F}'_{A,B,C}(0)\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}^{-1}(0). \tag{31}$$

If we put $G_m^{-1}(0)K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l)V_m^{-1}(0) = \gamma_m(c_l)$, taking into account (8) and that $V'_m(z)V_m^{-1}(z) = -V_m(z)(V_m^{-1}(z))'$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_m(c_l) &= A_m V'_{m+1}(0)V_m^{-1}(0) + G_m^{-1}(0)G_{m+1}(0)C_{m+1}V_m(0)(V_m^{-1}(0))' \\ &= A_m V'_{m+1}(0)V_m^{-1}(0) + G_m^{-1}(0)G_m(0)A_m V_{m+1}(0)(V_m^{-1}(0))' \\ &= -A_m [(V_m(0)V_{m+1}^{-1}(0))^{-1}]' \\ &= -A_m [(V_m(0)V_{m+1}^{-1}(0))^{-1}(V_m(0)V_{m+1}^{-1}(0))^{-1}]'(V_m(0)V_{m+1}^{-1}(0))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Using (14) and (17), we have (31).

Finally, we just have proved that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G_m^{-1}(0)((\Lambda \delta_z)(\mathcal{P}_0(z)))^{-1} V_m^{-1}(0) = 0_{N \times N}.$$

From Lemma 4 we have that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G_m^{-1}(0) = 0_{N \times N}$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} V_m^{-1}(0) = 0_{N \times N}$, and

$$\|G_m^{-1}(0)((\Lambda \delta_z)(\mathcal{P}_0(z)))^{-1} V_m^{-1}(0)\|_2 \leq \|G_m^{-1}(0)\|_2 \|((\Lambda \delta_z)(\mathcal{P}_0(z)))^{-1}\|_2 \|V_m^{-1}(0)\|_2.$$

Thus, the result follows. \square

Remark 1. Notice that in the generalized matrix Nevai class

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\beta_m^m)^{-1} \tilde{\beta}_m^m \tilde{\alpha}_m^m (\alpha_m^m)^{-1}$$

is well determined and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\beta_m^m)^{-1} \tilde{\beta}_m^m \tilde{\alpha}_m^m (\alpha_m^m)^{-1} = I_{N \times N} + \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(0)(\mathcal{F}'_{A,B,C}(0))^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(0).$$

If

$$\Xi = I_{N \times N} + \mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(0)(\mathcal{F}'_{A,B,C}(0))^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(0) \tag{32}$$

and taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \beta_m^m &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta_m)^{-1} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (C_m C_{m-1} \cdots C_1 \Delta_0)^{-1} = (C \cdots C \Delta_0)^{-1}, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m^m &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\Theta_m)^{-1} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\Theta_0 A_{-1} A_0 \cdots A_m)^{-1} = (\Theta_0 A \cdots A)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\beta}_m^m \tilde{\alpha}_m^m = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \beta_m^m \Xi \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m^m = (C \cdots C \Delta_0)^{-1} \Xi (\Theta_0 A \cdots A)^{-1}.$$

Now, we are ready to prove the following asymptotic result. So, we set that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\beta_m^m)^{-1} \tilde{\beta}_m^m = \Psi$.

Theorem 8. Let $\{V_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials with respect to the generalized Markov function \mathcal{F} and $\{A_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{B_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\{C_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequences of numerical matrices involved in the recurrence relation (1) such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m = A, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_m = B, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} C_m = C.$$

Then, there exists a sequence of matrix polynomials $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ left-orthogonal with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by (27) such that, for $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\Gamma \cup \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_M\}\}$, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{V}_m(z)V_m^{-1}(z) = \Psi^{-1} \left[I_{N \times N} - \frac{1}{z} I_{N \times N} - \Xi (\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}^{-1}(0) - (\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z))^{-1}) \right], \tag{33}$$

where Ξ is given by (32).

Proof. If

$$I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

is non-singular for every c_l , a zero of h , then

$$\tilde{V}_m(h(x)) = D_m V_m(h(x)) - \tilde{V}_m(0)\delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(x, c_l),$$

where

$$\tilde{V}_m(0) = D_m V_m(0) (I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l))^{-1}$$

and $D_m = (\tilde{\beta}_m^m)^{-1} \beta_m^m$. Then, multiplying the last relation on the right by V_m^{-1} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_m(h(x))V_m^{-1}(h(x)) &= D_m (I_{N \times N} - V_m(0) (I_{N \times N} \\ &\quad + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l))^{-1} \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(x, c_l)) V_m^{-1}(h(x)) \end{aligned}$$

But, from the Christoffel–Darboux formula (7)

$$K_{m+1}(x, c_l) = [G_m(0)A_m V_{m+1}(h(x)) - G_{m+1}(0)C_{m+1} V_m(h(x))] / h(x),$$

and then, $\tilde{V}_m V_m^{-1}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_m(h(x))V_m^{-1}(h(x)) &= D_m \left(I_{N \times N} - \frac{V_m(0)}{h(x)} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(I_{N \times N} + \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T K_{m+1}(c_l, c_l) \right)^{-1} \delta_z(\mathcal{P}_0(z))\Lambda^T G_m(0) \\ &\quad \left. \times (A_m V_{m+1}(h(x))V_m^{-1}(h(x)) - G_m^{-1}(0)G_{m+1}(0)C_{m+1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (30) in the last relation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_m(z)V_m^{-1}(z) &= [(\beta_m^m)^{-1} \tilde{\beta}_m^m]^{-1} \left(I_{N \times N} - \frac{1}{z} I_{N \times N} \right) \\ &\quad + [(\beta_m^m)^{-1} \tilde{\beta}_m^m] [\tilde{\alpha}_m^m (\alpha_m^m)^{-1}] (A_m V_{m+1}(z)V_m^{-1}(z) - G_m^{-1}(0)G_{m+1}(0)C_{m+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

If we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_m(z) &= G_m^{-1}(0)G_{m+1}(0)C_{m+1} - A_m V_{m+1}(z)V_m^{-1}(z) \\ &= C_{m+1}^{-1}G_{m+1}^{-1}(0)G_m(0) - V_m(z)V_{m+1}^{-1}(z)A_m^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

then (34) becomes

$$\tilde{V}_m(z)V_m^{-1}(z) = [(\beta_m^m)^{-1}\tilde{\beta}_m^m]^{-1}(I_{N \times N} - \frac{1}{z}I_{N \times N} - [(\beta_m^m)^{-1}\tilde{\beta}_m^m][\tilde{\alpha}_m^m(\alpha_m^m)^{-1}]\mathbf{E}_m(z)).$$

From Theorem 3 and its analog for the sequence of matrix polynomials $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_m(z) = (\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(0))^{-1} - (\mathcal{F}_{A,B,C}(z))^{-1}.$$

Since, there exists a sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials $\{\tilde{V}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\beta_m^m)^{-1}\tilde{\beta}_m^m = \Psi$, we obtain (33). \square

References

- [1] M. Alfaro, F. Marcellán, M.L. Rezola, A. Ronveaux, On orthogonal polynomials of Sobolev-type: algebraic properties and zeros, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 23 (1992) 737–757.
- [2] M. Alfaro, F. Marcellán, M.L. Rezola, Orthogonal polynomials on Sobolev spaces: old and new directions, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 48 (1993) 113–131.
- [3] A.I. Aptekarev, E.M. Nikishin, The scattering problem for discrete Sturm–Liouville operator, *Mat. Sb.* 121 (1983) 327–358, (English transl. in *Math. USSR Sb.* 49 (1984) 325–355).
- [4] H. Bavinck, H.G. Meijer, On orthogonal polynomials with respect with an inner product involving derivatives: zeros and recurrence relations, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* 1 (1990) 7–14.
- [5] C. Berg, The matrix moment problem, in: A. Branquinho, A. Foulquie (Eds.), *Coimbra Lecture Notes on Orthogonal Polynomials*, Nova Publishers, New York, 2008, pp. 1–57.
- [6] A. Branquinho, The Christoffel–Darboux identity for matrix orthonormal polynomials, in: A.J.G. Bento, et al (Ed.), *The Sampaio Martins Anniversary Volume*, *Textos Mat. Sér. B*, vol. 34, Universidade de Coimbra, 2004, pp. 33–36.
- [7] A. Branquinho, F. Marcellán, A. Mendes, Vector interpretation of the matrix orthogonality on the real line, *Acta Appl. Math.* 112 (2010) 357–383.
- [8] H. Dette, B. Reuther, W.J. Studden, M.J. Zygmunt, Matrix measures and random walks with a block tridiagonal transition matrix, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 29 (2006) 117–142.
- [9] A.J. Durán, A generalization of Favard’s theorem for polynomials satisfying a recurrence relation, *J. Approx. Theory* 74 (1993) 83–109.
- [10] A.J. Durán, On orthogonal polynomials with respect to a positive definite matrix of measures, *Canad. J. Math.* 47 (1995) 88–112.
- [11] A.J. Durán, Markov theorem for orthogonal matrix polynomials, *Canad. J. Math.* 48 (1996) 1180–1195.
- [12] A.J. Durán, Ratio asymptotics for orthogonal matrix polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* 100 (1999) 304–344.
- [13] A.J. Durán, W. Van Assche, Orthogonal matrix polynomials and higher-order recurrence relations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 219 (1995) 261–280.
- [14] A.J. Durán, E. Daneri-Vias, Ratio asymptotics for orthogonal matrix polynomials with unbounded recurrence coefficients, *J. Approx. Theory* 110 (2001) 1–17.
- [15] A.J. Durán, P. Lopez-Rodríguez, Orthogonal matrix polynomials: zeros and Blumenthal’s theorem, *J. Approx. Theory* 84 (1996) 96–118.
- [16] D. Damanik, A. Pushnitski, B. Simon, The analytic theory of matrix orthogonal polynomials, *Surveys Approx. Theory* 4 (2008) 1–85.
- [17] W.D. Evans, L.L. Littlejohn, F. Marcellán, C. Markett, A. Ronveaux, On recurrence relations for Sobolev orthogonal polynomials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 26 (1995) 446–467.
- [18] W. Fair, Noncommutative continued fractions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 2 (1971) 226–232.
- [19] F.R. Gantmacher, *The Theory of Matrices*, vol. 1, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1960.
- [20] F. Marcellán, T.E. Pérez, M.A. Piñar, On zeros of Sobolev-type orthogonal polynomials, *Rendi. di. Mat. Roma (serie 7)* 12 (1992) 455–473.
- [21] F. Marcellán, T.E. Pérez, M.A. Piñar, Regular Sobolev type orthogonal polynomials: the Bessel case, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 25 (1995) 1431–1457.
- [22] F. Marcellán, A. Ronveaux, On a class of polynomials orthogonal with respect to a discrete Sobolev inner product, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* 1 (1990) 451–464.
- [23] F. Marcellán, S.M. Zagorodnyuk, On the basic set of solutions of a higher order linear difference equation, *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* 12 (2006) 213–228.
- [24] P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 213 (1979), (Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, Rhode Island).
- [25] A. Sinap, W. Van Assche, Orthogonal matrix polynomials and applications, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 66 (1996) 27–52.
- [26] V.N. Sorokin, J. Van Iseghem, Matrix continued fractions, *J. Approx. Theory* 96 (1999) 237–257.
- [27] H.O. Yakhlef, F. Marcellán, M.A. Piñar, Relative asymptotics for orthogonal matrix polynomials with convergent recurrence coefficients, *J. Approx. Theory* 111 (2001) 1–30.
- [28] H.O. Yakhlef, F. Marcellán, M.A. Piñar, Perturbations in the Nevai matrix class of orthogonal matrix polynomials, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 336 (2001) 231–254.
- [29] S.M. Zagorodnyuk, On a five-diagonal Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials on rays in the complex plane, *Serdica Math. J.* 24 (1998) 257–282.

- [30] S.M. Zagorodnyuk, Analog of Favard's theorem for polynomials connected with difference equation of 4-th order, *Seirdica Math. J.* 27 (2001) 193–202.
- [31] S.M. Zagorodnyuk, On generalized Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials, *New York J. Math.* 9 (2003) 117–136.
- [32] S.M. Zagorodnyuk, Orthogonal polynomials on rays: properties of zeros, related moment problems and symmetries, *Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.* 4 (2008) 395–419.
- [33] M.J. Zygmunt, Matrix Chebyshev polynomials and continued fractions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 340 (2002) 155–168.